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ABSTRACT

Passive hydraulic engine mounts are broadly applied in the automotive and aerospace applications to isolate the cabin from the engine noise and vibration. The engine mounts are stationed in between the engine and the fuselage in aerospace applications. In fixed wing turbofan engine applications, the notch frequency of each hydraulic engine mount is adjusted to either N1 frequency (engine low speed shaft imbalance excitation frequency) or to N2 frequency (engine high speed shaft imbalance excitation frequency) at the cruise condition. Since most of today's passive hydraulic engine mount designs have only one notch, isolation is only possible at either N1 or at N2, but not at both. In this paper, a novel double-notch passive hydraulic engine mount design is proposed. The new design consists of two inertia tracks. One inertia track contains a tuned vibration absorber (TVA) where the other one does not. This design exhibits two notch frequencies, and therefore can provide vibration and noise isolation at two different frequencies. The notch frequencies of the new design are easily tunable and the notches can be placed at N1 and N2 with ease. The new passive hydraulic engine mount design concept and its mathematical model are presented in details and some discussions on the simulation results are also included.

INTRODUCTION

Passive hydraulic engine mounts are widely used in the automotive and aerospace applications for the control of cabin noise and vibration (Singh, Kim and Ravindra, 1992; Kim and Singh, 1993; 1995; Adigunaa et al., 2003; Vahdati, 2005; Christopherson and Jazar, 2006). The hydraulic mount is placed in between the engine and the fuselage, or the car engine and the car frame to reduce and control the noise and vibration level of the cabin.

Aircraft engine mounts have two main functions: 1) to connect the engine and the airframe together, and 2) to isolate the airframe from the engine vibration (Swanson, Wu and Ashrafiuon, 1993). Vibratory forces are mainly caused by the rotational unbalances of the engine, and result in increased stress levels in the nacelle, as well as high noise levels in the cabin (Swanson, Wu and Ashrafiuon, 1993). Through careful design and selection of hydraulic engine mount parameters, it is possible to select the dynamic stiffness smaller than the static stiffness at a certain frequency. This effect is called "notching" and is referred to as the minimum dynamic stiffness over a small frequency range (Yunhe, Nagi G. and Rao V., 2001).

The "notch frequency" is the frequency at which the dynamic stiffness of the hydraulic mount is the lowest; therefore, greatest cabin noise and vibration reduction are obtained. The design location of the notch frequency depends on the application, but with most applications, the "notch frequency" is designed to coincide with the longest period of constant speed. For example, in the case of fixed wing applications, the notch frequency may be designed to coincide with the aircraft cruise speed rather than the take-off and the landing speeds. Since most of the airplane’s flight time is spent at the cruise speed, it makes most sense to reduce the cabin noise and vibration at the cruise speed rather than at the take-off or landing speeds (Vahdati, 2005).

The problem with existing hydraulic engine mount designs is that there is only one notch frequency and cabin noise and vibration reduction is only possible at one frequency. Here in this paper, a new hydraulic engine mount design is proposed that has two notch frequencies; therefore, cabin noise and vibration reduction is possible at two distinct frequencies.

HYDRAULIC ENGINE MOUNT

A single-pumper passive fluid mount, as shown in Fig. 1, consists of two fluid chambers that are connected together through an inertia track.
Fig. 3 is shown in Figure 3. In the first inertia track, there is a mass and two springs. The fluid, flowing through the 1st inertia track, can flow in between the mass and the inertia track walls and can dynamically move the mass up and down. In the bottom fluid chamber a soft rubber diaphragm provides the volumetric stiffness and contains the fluid. This volumetric stiffness can also vary by pressuring the air behind it, as shown in Fig. 3.

The bond graph model of Fig. 3 is shown in Fig. 4.

Before deriving the state space equations from the bond graph model of Fig. 3, it is necessary to obtain the flow loss and pressure drop in the inertia tracks and especially in the gap between the mass and the 1st inertia track housing.

The inertia track flow resistance, for the circular part of the 1st inertia track, is given by \( R_f = \frac{128 \mu L}{\pi D_{in}^4} \) (1)

where \( \mu \) is the fluid viscosity (0.0035 Ns/m^2), \( D_{in} \) is the inner diameter of the inertia track and \( L \) is the length of the inertia track that is circular.

For the annular section of the inertia track, a different flow resistance equation needs to be used. The velocity profile between the cylindrical mass and the inertia track housing could be written as

\[
u = \frac{r^2}{4\mu d} \left( P + \gamma h \right) - A \frac{\Delta P}{\mu} + B \tag{2}\]

The top fluid chamber, a customized designed rubber component (shown in Fig. 3 as a cone-shaped rubber component) acts like a spring in the axial direction, acts like a piston pumping fluid, and acts like a volumetric spring in the volumetric or bulge direction, containing the fluid. The top fluid chamber is connected to the bottom fluid chamber via two inertia tracks. In this first inertia track, there is a mass and two springs. The fluid, flowing through the 1st inertia track, can flow in between the mass and the inertia track walls and can dynamically move the mass up and down. In the bottom fluid chamber a soft rubber diaphragm provides the volumetric stiffness and contains the fluid. This volumetric stiffness can also vary by pressuring the air behind it, as shown in Fig. 3.

The bond graph model of Fig. 3 is shown in Fig. 4.

Before deriving the state space equations from the bond graph model of Fig. 3, it is necessary to obtain the flow loss and pressure drop in the inertia tracks and especially in the gap between the mass and the 1st inertia track housing.

The inertia track flow resistance, for the circular part of the 1st inertia track, is given by \( R_f = \frac{128 \mu L}{\pi D_{in}^4} \) (1)

where \( \mu \) is the fluid viscosity (0.0035 Ns/m^2), \( D_{in} \) is the inner diameter of the inertia track and \( L \) is the length of the inertia track that is circular.

For the annular section of the inertia track, a different flow resistance equation needs to be used. The velocity profile between the cylindrical mass and the inertia track housing could be written as

\[
u = \frac{r^2}{4\mu d} \left( P + \gamma h \right) - A \frac{\Delta P}{\mu} + B \tag{2}\]

The top fluid chamber, a customized designed rubber component (shown in Fig. 3 as a cone-shaped rubber component) acts like a spring in the axial direction, acts like a piston pumping fluid, and acts like a volumetric spring in the volumetric or bulge direction, containing the fluid. The top fluid chamber is connected to the bottom fluid chamber via two inertia tracks. In this first inertia track, there is a mass and two springs. The fluid, flowing through the 1st inertia track, can flow in between the mass and the inertia track walls and can dynamically move the mass up and down. In the bottom fluid chamber a soft rubber diaphragm provides the volumetric stiffness and contains the fluid. This volumetric stiffness can also vary by pressuring the air behind it, as shown in Fig. 3.

The bond graph model of Fig. 3 is shown in Fig. 4.

The top fluid chamber, a customized designed rubber component (shown in Fig. 3 as a cone-shaped rubber component) acts like a spring in the axial direction, acts like a piston pumping fluid, and acts like a volumetric spring in the volumetric or bulge direction, containing the fluid. The top fluid chamber is connected to the bottom fluid chamber via two inertia tracks. In this first inertia track, there is a mass and two springs. The fluid, flowing through the 1st inertia track, can flow in between the mass and the inertia track walls and can dynamically move the mass up and down. In the bottom fluid chamber a soft rubber diaphragm provides the volumetric stiffness and contains the fluid. This volumetric stiffness can also vary by pressuring the air behind it, as shown in Fig. 3.

The bond graph model of Fig. 3 is shown in Fig. 4.

Before deriving the state space equations from the bond graph model of Fig. 3, it is necessary to obtain the flow loss and pressure drop in the inertia tracks and especially in the gap between the mass and the 1st inertia track housing.

The inertia track flow resistance, for the circular part of the 1st inertia track, is given by \( R_f = \frac{128 \mu L}{\pi D_{in}^4} \) (1)

where \( \mu \) is the fluid viscosity (0.0035 Ns/m^2), \( D_{in} \) is the inner diameter of the inertia track and \( L \) is the length of the inertia track that is circular.

For the annular section of the inertia track, a different flow resistance equation needs to be used. The velocity profile between the cylindrical mass and the inertia track housing could be written as

\[
u = \frac{r^2}{4\mu d} \left( P + \gamma h \right) - A \frac{\Delta P}{\mu} + B \tag{2}\]

The top fluid chamber, a customized designed rubber component (shown in Fig. 3 as a cone-shaped rubber component) acts like a spring in the axial direction, acts like a piston pumping fluid, and acts like a volumetric spring in the volumetric or bulge direction, containing the fluid. The top fluid chamber is connected to the bottom fluid chamber via two inertia tracks. In this first inertia track, there is a mass and two springs. The fluid, flowing through the 1st inertia track, can flow in between the mass and the inertia track walls and can dynamically move the mass up and down. In the bottom fluid chamber a soft rubber diaphragm provides the volumetric stiffness and contains the fluid. This volumetric stiffness can also vary by pressuring the air behind it, as shown in Fig. 3.

The bond graph model of Fig. 3 is shown in Fig. 4.

Before deriving the state space equations from the bond graph model of Fig. 3, it is necessary to obtain the flow loss and pressure drop in the inertia tracks and especially in the gap between the mass and the 1st inertia track housing.

The inertia track flow resistance, for the circular part of the 1st inertia track, is given by \( R_f = \frac{128 \mu L}{\pi D_{in}^4} \) (1)

where \( \mu \) is the fluid viscosity (0.0035 Ns/m^2), \( D_{in} \) is the inner diameter of the inertia track and \( L \) is the length of the inertia track that is circular.

For the annular section of the inertia track, a different flow resistance equation needs to be used. The velocity profile between the cylindrical mass and the inertia track housing could be written as

\[
u = \frac{r^2}{4\mu d} \left( P + \gamma h \right) - A \frac{\Delta P}{\mu} + B \tag{2}\]

The top fluid chamber, a customized designed rubber component (shown in Fig. 3 as a cone-shaped rubber component) acts like a spring in the axial direction, acts like a piston pumping fluid, and acts like a volumetric spring in the volumetric or bulge direction, containing the fluid. The top fluid chamber is connected to the bottom fluid chamber via two inertia tracks. In this first inertia track, there is a mass and two springs. The fluid, flowing through the 1st inertia track, can flow in between the mass and the inertia track walls and can dynamically move the mass up and down. In the bottom fluid chamber a soft rubber diaphragm provides the volumetric stiffness and contains the fluid. This volumetric stiffness can also vary by pressuring the air behind it, as shown in Fig. 3.

The bond graph model of Fig. 3 is shown in Fig. 4.
\[ B = \frac{(a^2 \ln b - b^2 \ln a)}{4\mu L \ln(b/a)} \Delta P + \frac{\ln a}{\ln(b/a)} \Delta L \]

By using \( Q = \int_a^b 2\pi r dr \), the flow in the gap will be derived based on pressure gradient and mass velocity. The flow loss in the gap depends on the fluid flow and mass velocity and can be written as,

\[ \Delta P = R_{23} Q + R_{23} \Delta \dot{x} \]  

On the other hand, the force applied to the mass by the fluid is important in the simulations. The shear stress on the mass surface is given by

\[ \tau = -\mu \frac{du}{dr} \]  

Where \( \frac{du}{dr} \) must be calculated at \( r = a \). By Differentiating \( u \) with respect to \( r \), the shear stress will be obtained.

\[ \tau = \frac{F}{A_{surf}} = C_3 \Delta P + C_4 \Delta \dot{x} \]  

Where \( C_3 \) and \( C_4 \) are

\[ C_3 = \left[ \frac{b^2 - a^2}{4\mu L \ln(b/a)} - \frac{b}{2L} \right] \]  

\[ C_4 = -\frac{\mu}{\ln(b/a)} \]  

The fluid force acting on the mass can be written as a function of flow and mass velocity by plugging Eq.(5) into Eq.(7).

\[ F = C_3 R_{23} A_{surf} Q + (C_3 - R_{23} \Delta \dot{x}) A_{surf} \Delta \dot{x} \]  

Now, by considering the obtained equations, the state space equations, from the bond graph model, can be derived as

\[ q_2 = \frac{q_{11}}{C_{11}} \]  

\[ q_6 = \frac{A_m v_{in}}{I_{8}} - \frac{P_{26}}{I_{20}} - \frac{P_{26}}{I_{14}} \]  

\[ \dot{P}_6 = \frac{q_6}{C_{6}} - \frac{R_{16}}{I_{8}} \frac{P_{26}}{I_{14}} - \frac{q_{11}}{C_{11}} \]  

\[ \dot{q}_{11} = \frac{P_{26}}{I_{8}} - \frac{A_m}{I_{14}} \frac{P_{26}}{I_{20}} - \frac{P_{26}}{I_{14}} \]  

\[ \dot{P}_{14} = \frac{A_m}{C_{11}} \frac{q_{11}}{C_{11}} - \frac{q_{15}}{C_{15}} - \frac{A_m}{C_{23}} \frac{q_{23}}{C_{23}} - \frac{R_{16i}}{I_{14}} \frac{P_{14}}{I_{14}} \]  

\[ \dot{q}_{15} = \frac{P_{14}}{I_{14}} \]  

Consider the obtained equations, the state space equations, from the bond graph model, can be derived as

\[ \dot{q}_{20} = \frac{q_{11}}{C_{11}} - \frac{q_{20}}{C_{20}} - \frac{R_{21i}}{I_{10}} \frac{P_{20}}{I_{14}} \]  

\[ q_{23} = A_{mass} \frac{P_{25}}{I_{14}} + \frac{P_{20}}{I_{20}} - \frac{P_{26}}{I_{16}} \]  

\[ \dot{P}_{20} = \frac{q_{23}}{C_{23}} - \frac{R_{25}}{I_{26}} \frac{P_{26}}{I_{12}} \frac{\dot{q}_{32}}{C_{32}} \]  

\[ \dot{P}_{30} = \frac{q_{6}}{C_{6}} - \frac{R_{26}}{I_{30}} \frac{P_{30}}{I_{12}} \frac{\dot{q}_{32}}{C_{32}} \]  

\[ q_{32} = \frac{P_{26}}{I_{26}} + \frac{P_{30}}{I_{30}} \]  

The input force or effort on bond 1, is given by

\[ F_{in} = \frac{q_2}{C_2} + R_3 v_{in} + A_{pt} \frac{q_6}{C_6} \]  

In the above state space equations, \( q_{20}, q_{40}, q_{11}, q_{15}, q_{23}, \) and \( q_{32} \) are the generalized displacement variables, \( P_{30} \) and \( P_{31} \) are the momentum variables. To simulate the model of Fig. 4, MATLAB Program and the above state space equations with the following baseline parameters were used.

- \( v_{in} \) velocity across the mount, m/s
- \( A_{pt} \) effective area of the top metal, 0.009 m²
- \( A_{mass} \) effective area of mass in the inertia track, 2.162e-4 m²
- \( I_f \) fluid inertia in the upper and below parts of inertia track, same as \( I_{14} \) and \( I_{26} \), Ns²/m⁵
- \( R_f \) inertia track flow resistance, same as \( R_{16} \) and \( R_{16i} \), Ns/m⁵
- \( I_{FP} \) inertia of the piston, same as \( I_{14} \), 0.0148 kg
- \( R_{gap} \) flow loss in the gap, Ns/m⁵
- \( K_f \) axial stiffness of rubber in upper chamber \( (C_f = 1/K_{f}) \), 2.05e6 N/m
- \( K_{t} \) damping component of rubber in upper chamber \( (C_f = 1/K_{t}) \), 145 Ns/m
- \( K_{b} \) top chamber volumetric or bulge stiffness \( (C_{27} = 1/K_{b}) \), 1.1e11 N/m⁵
- \( K_{o} \) bottom chamber volumetric or bulge stiffness \( (C_{27} = 1/K_{o}) \), 2.1e9 N/m⁵
- \( K_s \) stiffness of springs in the inertia track, \( (C_{15} = 1/K_{s}) \), 2*1000 N/m
- \( R_{16i} \) flow loss around the piston \( (R_{16i} = (C_{3}-R_{23i}+C_{4})A_{surf}) \), Ns/m
- \( R_{16ii} \) flow loss around the piston \( (R_{16ii} = C_{3} R_{23i} A_{surf}) \), Ns/m
- \( I_{30} \) fluid inertia in the 2nd inertia track, Ns²/m⁵
- \( R_{30} \) 2nd inertia track flow resistance, Ns/m⁵

The fluid inertia is given by

\[ I_f = \frac{\rho L}{A} \]

Where \( \rho \) is the fluid density (1765 kg/m³) and \( L \) is the length of upper and lower parts of the inertia track (0.0762 m). Also, \( I_{gap} \) can be calculated in the same manner (the height of the piston in the inertia track is 25.4e-3 m). The length of second inertia track is 0.2286 m and its diameter is 0.0108 m.
MATLAB program, with the above baseline parameters, was used to simulate the state space Eqs. (10) – (21). Fig. 6 shows the new hydraulic mount dynamic stiffness (defined as $K^* = F_{in}/X_{in}$) versus frequency. The figure clearly shows that indeed there are two notches and two peaks. From the figure, one can see that the first and the second notch frequencies occur at 25.38 and 46.68 Hz, and the peak frequencies at 40.38 and 65.23 Hz, respectively. Of course, one can place the notch and peak frequencies to any desired location by altering the hydraulic mount parameters. The first notch location can be varied by a change in the second inertia track parameters and the second notch location by a change in the first inertia track parameters and also the mass and springs.

![Figure 6. Dynamic stiffness of the double-notch hydraulic engine mount (MATLAB simulation)](image)

For example, Fig. 7 shows that if one varies the second inertia track parameters, one can alter the location of the first notch frequency and the first and second peak frequencies. In this simulation the diameter of the second inertia track was altered from 0.0108 m to 0.02159 m.

![Figure 7. Dynamic stiffness as the diameter of the second inertia track changes](image)

Fig. 8 shows the variation in the location of the notches and the peaks as stiffness of the springs in the inertia track is varied. In this case the second notch frequency will vary from 46.66 Hz to 64.83 Hz.

![Figure 8. Dynamic stiffness as the stiffness of springs change](image)

Fig. 9 shows that if one varies the piston material (lead), one can alter the location of the notch and the peak frequencies.

![Figure 9. Dynamic stiffness as the piston material changes](image)

In this novel design, there is an opportunity to vanish one notch by fixing the mass in the inertia track. In other words, by using magnets, one can change a double notch fluid mount design to a fluid mount design with only one notch. Fig. 10 shows the notch location in this situation.

![Figure 10. Dynamic stiffness with and without magnets](image)

Often, it is necessary to alter the location of the notch frequencies after the hydraulic mount is manufactured. Also, if notch frequency or frequencies need to be retuned, ideally it can be done without a need for any fluid mount redesign. Similar to the approach in (Vahdati, 2005), in this new hydraulic engine mount design, the volumetric stiffness $K_{vb}$; can be easily varied if the gas pressure behind the rubber diaphragm is increased or decreased. This tunability can be very useful both to the original equipment manufacturer and to the customer. The two notch frequencies can be fine tuned with the help of $K_{vb}$; without the need for any hydraulic mount redesign. If it is needed to fine tune the fluid mount notches in the field, one can do so by changing gas pressure. Fine tuning the notch frequencies in the field can provide better cabin noise and vibration isolation than tuning the fluid mount notches at the OEM’s manufacturing site. Figs. 11 and 12 show that as the volume stiffness $K_{vb}$ is varied, the first and second notch frequencies can be relocated.

![Figure 11. First notch frequency as the bottom volume stiffness, $K_{vb}$; is varied](image)
CONCLUSIONS

For fixed wing applications, the current commercially available passive hydraulic engine mount designs have only one notch frequency; therefore, cabin noise and vibration isolation is only possible at N1 or at N2, but not both.

Here, in this paper, a new passive hydraulic engine mount design has been presented, which has two notch frequencies. The new design was described and its mathematical model was presented. It was shown that indeed this new design can provide vibration and noise isolation at two frequencies and one can easily tune the notch and peak frequencies by changing the gas pressure and appropriate mount parameters.
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