



CLOSED-LOOP FEEDBACK MODEL OF CHATTER IN A SHAPING OPERATION

Ayman El-Badawy¹, Tarek Nasr El-Deen²

¹Deaprtment of Engineering Mechanics, The German University of Cairo New Cairo City, Al-Tagamoa Al-Khames, Egypt ²Department of Mechanical Engineering, Al-Azhar University, Nasr City, Cairo, Egypt <u>ayman.elbadawy@guc.edu.eg</u>

Abstract

The motivation of the work is twofold: (a) understand the physics behind regenerative chatter while presenting some experimental results to demonstrate the problem on a shaping operation, (b) develop a closed-loop time-delayed displacement feedback mathematical model that represent the chatter phenomenon. The model is then used to investigate certain combinations of cutting force and spindle speed that leads the feedback loop to become unstable. The root locus and bode-plot techniques are used to complement the physics with a control engineering perspective. Then, the stability lobe diagrams are compared with root locus and bode-plots of the system in order to validate the feedback model. Finally, instabilities due to structural and delay poles are identified and illustrated.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the limiting characteristics of precision manufacturing is vibration. The force acting on a vibrating system is usually external to the system and independent of the motion. However, there are systems in which the exciting force is a function of the motion itself. Such systems are called self-excited vibrating systems. Since the motion itself produces the exciting force. The self-excited phenomenon within a manufacturing process is a cause of these vibrations. Rigid body motion of tool and workpiece can excite one or more flexible modes of the tool during machining causing precision difficulties. An example of such phenomenon is chatter which can be developed between the tool and the workpiece while cutting due to the interaction of cutting forces that change with both time and dynamic stiffness of the machine. Clearly, this vibration occurrence reduces quality and can even result in structural failure. So, the main advantage of the chatter prediction through the stability charts is the metal removal rate maximization, at the same time avoiding the adverse effects of chatter vibrations like the poor surface finish, noise and breakage of tools.

The explanation of machine-tool vibration was first given by Tobias [1] as "chipthickness variation effect" or "regenerative effect". The later one has become the most commonly accepted explanation for machine tool chatter. Figure 1 shows the cutting force variation due to the wavy work-piece surface cut during the previous revolution. The corresponding mathematical models are delay-differential equations (DDE). Stability properties can be predicted through the investigation of both DDEs and experimental conditions. Many researchers [2-8] provided the development of stability lobe diagrams (SLD) that compactly represent the stability information as a function of the control parameters (i.e., spindle speed and depth of cut). An important result from these analyses is the ability to identify stable cutting regions in which larger metal removal rates could be obtained by cutting at higher spindle speeds.



Figure 1. The Regeneration Process

Theory of chatter can be explained through different point of views; Bhattacharyya [9] summarized the different theories and models into four main groups: I) Velocity principle, II) Regenerative principle, III) Mode coupling theory and IV) Phase lag theory.

Insperger et al [2] identified the chatter frequencies in milling processes, both analytically and experimentally. Frequency diagrams are constructed analytically and attached to the stability charts of mechanical models of high-speed milling. The corresponding quasi periodic solutions of the governing time-periodic delay-differential equations are also identified with some milling experiments in the case of highly intermittent cutting.

A chatter stability study and its reasoning based on root locus plot analysis of time delayed systems is presented by Olgac and Hosek [3].

In this work, some experimental chatter signatures are shown from a shaping operation. Also regenerative chatter dynamics model using delay differential equations are developed. These equations are then presented in a closed-loop feedback model. Root locus and Bode diagrams are generated. These plots are then used to determine the type of poles (structural poles or time-delay poles) that lead to system instabilities.

2. EXPERIMENTS

2.1 Setup and Modal Properties

The First to model chatter, the values of the natural frequency and damping ratio of the cutting tool first transverse vibration mode need to be determined. These dynamic properties were identified using impact testing. The experimental setup consists of a shaper machine, accelerometer, impulse hammer, spectrum analyzer and a PC.

The absolute value of the measured frequency response function is depicted in Figure 2 (mean of 10 measurements). From this frequency response function, the natural frequency and damping ratio of the first bending mode of tool were found to be 285 Hz and 0.091 respectively.



Figure 2. Frequency response function for the tool (magnitude, phase and coherence).

2.2 Chatter Signature

Different cutting conditions were applied by changing the shaper speed and depth of cut. The available speeds were 12, 18, 26, 35 and 50 strokes per minute and for each speed, different values (0.05, 0.10, 0.15... 1.00 mm) for the depth of cut (DOC) were used.

Power spectral density and time traces of the tool acceleration were acquired for these different cutting conditions. Figure 3 shows a sample of the tool response for depth of cut of 0.20 and 0.70 mm and 12 strokes/min cutting speed. We notice that by increasing the depth of cut from 0.20 to 0.70 mm keeping the same speed; the cutting operation passed through a stability boundary and the cutting operation became unstable.



Figure 3. Power spectral density and time traces of the tool acceleration for (a, b) DOC = 0.20mm, (c, d) DOC = 0.70 mm and 12 strokes/min cutting speed.

3. MODELLING AND STABILITY

3.1 Mathematical Modelling

In this work, we assume that chatter is associated with a single mode of vibration of the tool and therefore can be modeled as a single degree of freedom (SDOF) system. The cutting force is assumed to be proportional to the chip thickness, so it is dependent on previous cutting conditions. The fluctuating cutting force, df is given by

$$df = -K(x(t) - x(t - T)) \tag{1}$$

where K is a constant called cutting force factor, x(t) is the time-dependent displacement of the tool from the equilibrium position. x(t-T) is the displacement of the tool at time t-T, where T is the time delay.

Figure 1 shows a SDOF mechanical model of the regenerative machine tool vibration in the case of the so-called orthogonal cutting (*h* denotes chip thickness). The SDOF equation of motion of the tool is given as

$$\ddot{x}(t) + 2\zeta \omega_n \dot{x}(t) + \omega_n^2 x(t) = -Y \omega_n^2 \left(x(t) - x(t-T) \right)$$
(2)

where ω_n is the natural angular frequency of the undamped free oscillating system, and ζ is the relative damping factor and Y=K/k is the non-dimensional gain factor and k is the tool stiffness factor.

3.2 Stability Analysis

The solution of (2) has the form $x=Ae^{-st}$, where s is the complex characteristic value ($s=\sigma+i\omega$) where σ is the decay rate and ω is the angular frequency. The dynamic characteristics of the response are represented by the decay rate σ , and angular velocity ω . The system is stable if σ is negative and unstable otherwise. The stability boundary condition is $\sigma=0$. Substituting x into (2) gives the following characteristic equation:

$$s^{2} + 2\zeta \omega_{n} s + \omega_{n}^{2} + Y \omega_{n}^{2} (1 - e^{-sT}) = 0$$
(3)

then substituting for s in (3) by σ and ω and separating the real and imaginary parts gives

$$-\omega^2 + \omega_n^2 + Y\omega_n^2(1 - \cos\omega T) = 0$$
(4a)

$$2\zeta\omega_n\omega + Y\omega_n^2\sin\omega T = 0 \tag{4b}$$

By introducing the non-dimensional terms $W = \omega/\omega_n$, and $\tau = T\omega_n$, the above equations can be written in non-dimensional form as

$$-W^{2}+1+Y(1-\cos W\tau)=0$$

$$2\zeta W+Y\sin W\tau=0$$
(5a, b)

These two simultaneous equations represent the dynamics of the tool, subjected to a cutting force of amplitude Y, delayed by time τ , and with system damping characteristics ζ . Equations (5a, 5b) may be rearranged to produce two equations, explicitly expressing Y in terms of W and ζ and τ in terms of W and ζ . Rearranging (5b) and substituting into (5a) gives

$$\tau = \frac{2}{W} \left(\tan^{-1} \left(\frac{1 - W^2}{2\zeta W} \right) + n\pi \right), \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty$$
(6)

Substituting by (6) to eliminate τ , and simplifying to find an explicit expression for *Y* in terms of *W* and ζ

$$Y = \frac{-(1 - W^2)^2 - (2\zeta W)^2}{2(1 - W^2)}$$
(7)

The damping ratio ζ may be assumed to be constant for a particular system. Therefore (6, 7) represent a set of parametric equations for the stability boundary in terms of τ and Y.

For zero damping $\zeta=0$, *Y* is simply a parabola with a discontinuity at W=1 (Fig. 4a). As damping increases, the discontinuity at W=1 becomes more pronounced (Fig. 4b). This curve represents the stability boundary for the feedback gain factor *Y* as a function of frequency *W*

for a given damping ratio ζ . From physics it is known that the feedback gain factor Y can be only a positive value. So, the negative lobe in Figures 4a and 4b are for mathematical representation only while the upper lobe represents one of the stability lobes in Figure 10. The regions of instability can be easily determined using (4b). If the *LHS* of (4b) is negative then the system is stable and unstable otherwise.

The minimum point of instability region can be found by differentiating (8) with respect to *W* and equating to zero, there are five roots: they are W = 0, $W = \pm \sqrt{1 \pm 2\zeta}$. Root $W = \sqrt{1 + 2\zeta}$ relates to the minimum of the instability region, whereas $W = \sqrt{1 - 2\zeta}$ is the maximum of the instability region for W < 1.

The relationship between τ and W is represented by the infinite number of curves produced by the periodic nature of the transcendental (6). Figure 5a shows the curves for n=0, 1, 2, 3 and 4 for $\zeta=0.1$. Figure 5b shows the relationship between X and W, where X is the repeat of the delayed signal, Ω in a non-dimensional form $X = \Omega/\omega_n = 2\pi/\tau$. Figure 6 shows the standard stability chart for regenerative chatter problem. This figure shows that the time delay independent stability criterion is $Y < 2\zeta(1+\zeta)$. With the assist of Figures 5-6, the stability parameters condition (W and τ) can be determined. For example, from Figure 6 we have for the 2^{nd} lobe (n=2), the minimum stability limit is X = 0.626. From Figure 5b, this value of X leads to W = 1.1 for the same stability lobe. Using this transformation, we can plot the whole stability diagram as a function of the cutting speed instead of chatter frequency. This leads to a more meaningful stability diagram since the cutting speed is a control parameter. Finally, we plug this value of W into Figure 5a to determine the critical delay time value. This value is then used later to draw the root locus and Bode diagrams.



Figure 4. Stability chart for regenerative feedback system on a force Y versus repeat frequency W: a) $\zeta = 0$, b) $\zeta = 0.1$



Figure 5. Relationship between chatter frequency X and a) time delay τ , b) repeat frequency W (ζ = 0.1, n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4)



Figure 6. Stability chart for feedback system on a force Y versus chatter frequency X ($\zeta = 0.1$, n=1–7)

4. CLOSED-LOOP FEEDBACK MODEL

Referring to figure 1 and assuming the tool to be flexible only in the *x*-direction, the uncut chip thickness at any instant is given by

$$h(t) = h_o(t) + x(t - T) - x(t)$$
(8)

Assuming that the cutting force is proportional to the chip thickness, as previously shown in (2), then the cutting force F_c in the x-direction is equal to

$$F_{c} = kh(t) = K(h_{o}(t) + x(t - T) - x(t))$$
(9)

Under certain combination of cutting conditions, the feedback becomes unstable, leading to chatter. The dynamic equation of motion in the *x* direction is

$$\ddot{x}(t) + 2\zeta \omega_n \dot{x}(t) + \omega_n^2(t) = F_c(1/m)$$
(10)

Equations (8, 9 and 10) represent the regenerative cutting model in the time domain. Applying Laplace transform to these equations yield

$$h(s) = h_o(s) + x(s)(e^{-sT} - 1)$$
(11)

$$F_c(s) = kh(s) \tag{12}$$

$$x(s) = G(s)F_c(s) \tag{13}$$

Defining the machine tool transfer function between the applied force *F* and displacement *x* as G(*s*), we have $\frac{x(s)}{F_c(s)} = G(s) = \frac{(1/m)}{s^2 + 2\zeta\omega_s s + \omega_s^2}$.

Rearranging (11, 12 and 13) we get

$$\frac{h(s)}{h_o(s)} = \frac{1}{1 + KG(s)(1 - e^{-sT})}$$
(14)

Figure 7 represents the closed-loop feedback diagram for regenerative chatter. In this figure, h_o is the desired feed of the tool and h is the total chip thickness.



Figure 7. Closed-loop representation of regenerative chatter

To model time delay effects within the context of continuous-time systems a padé approximant is used. Root locus plots of various delay times is shown in Figure 8. We found that for very small time delay (i.e. $\tau \rightarrow 0$) its effect on system stability can be ignored because poles due to time delay are on the extremely left side of the imaginary axis (Figure 8a). With increasing the delay time values (Figure 10b,c), the delay roots approach the imaginary axis and cross it. Traditional techniques of chatter analysis generally recognize that instability

arises from the structural mode of the system. However, it can be said from Figure 8, that for certain spindle speeds, there is always a possibility that the roots due to the delay may cross over to the right side of the imaginary axis before a structural pole does.

Realizing that the root locus and bode plots are drawn for a specific delay time, this specific diagram point up the value of the chatter frequency. These values of the chatter frequency and time delay together with a trial value of stability lobe number (n) guided from Figure 5a are used to determine a chatter frequency X from Figure 5b. If this X value agrees with the one determined from the root locus diagram, then the stability lobe number is the correct one; otherwise, repeat for a different n value until X agrees between the mathematical model and the closed-loop feedback model.

Knowing the confirmed value of X together with the determined value of n, the corresponding value of $W(\Omega/\omega_n)$ from Figure 5b is determined. Having now the value of Ω and the value of GM obtained from the Bode plot, a point on the stability chart can be located. Thus we can relate the closed-loop feedback model with mathematical model. This can be important when designing a compensator and correlating the behavior of the controlled system back to the stability charts. Converged results are achieved when eighteen terms in padé approximation was used. Figure 9a shows the stability chart for both cases (a) mathematical model and (b) closed-loop feedback model.

Using the root locus diagrams, the value of the critical speed where the delay poles start crossing the imaginary axis is determined. This value is then used in the stability chart (Figure 9b) to separate the two regions of stability lobes, the one due to structural poles and the one due to delay poles.





Figure 9. Stability Chart (a) comparison between mathematical model and closed-loop feedback model (b) closed-loop feedback model.

Bode plots for the same time delay conditions are also shown in Figure 10. Table 1 presents the critical spindle speeds as well as the gain margin (k) for instability to occur. It is clear from the table that increasing the delay time increases the value of the gain margin. This is expected since the chatter frequency is the reciprocal of the delay time, and as the chatter frequency decreases, the waviness in the surface decreases leading to a better surface finish, thus higher GM for instability. It is worth noting that unlike the root locus, plotting the Bode diagram will not help in determining the type of pole that will lead to instability.



Table 1: Bode plots results for different time delays

Delay Time (τ)	Bode Plot Results	
	$\omega_{cr.}$ (rad/s)	Gain Margin (K)
0.01	231	17000
0.03	106.8	35100
0.05	66.6	38500

5. CONCLUSIONS

Some experimental chatter signatures were illustrated for a shaping operation. Regenerative chatter dynamics was modelled using delay differential equations. These equations were then correlated to a closed-loop feedback model. Root locus and Bode diagrams were generated. These plots were then used to determine the type of poles (structural poles or time-delay poles) that lead to system instabilities.

REFERENCES

- [1] S.A. Tobias, *Machine Tool Vibration*, Blackie & Son Limited, 1965.
- [2] T. Insperger, G. Stépán, P.V. Bayly, B.P. Mann, "Multiple Chatter Frequencies in Milling Processes", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, **262**, 2003, pp. 333-345.
- [3] N. Olgac and M. Hosek, "A New Perspective and Analysis for Regenerative Machine Tool Chatter", *International Journal of Machine Tools & Manufacture*, **38**, 1998, pp. 783–798.
- [4] R. Faassen, N. van de Wouw, J.A.J. Oosterling, and H. Nijmeijer, "Prediction of Regenerative Chatter by Modeling and Analysis of High-Speed Milling", *International Journal of Machine Tools & Manufacture*, 43, 2003, pp. 1437-1446.
- [5] I. Kovačić, "The Chatter Vibrations in Metal Cutting -Theoretical Approach", University of NIŠ, The scientific journal FACTA UNIVERSITATIS, Series: Mechanical Engineering, 1, 1998, pp. 581-593.
- [6] T. Insperger, and G. Stépán, "Stability of the Milling Process", *Periodica Polytechnica Ser. Mech. Eng.*, 44, 2000, pp. 47–57.
- [7] L. Le-Ngoc, Simple Stability Analyses of a Regenerative Chatter Problem and of a Time-Delayed Displacement Feedback SDOF System. Journal of Sound and Vibration, 258, 373-384, 2002.
- [8] R. Szalai, and G. Stépán, "Lobes and lenses in the stability chart of interrupted turning", accepted for *ASME Journal of Computational and Nonlinear Dynamics*, 2006.
- [9] A. Bhattachryya, *Metal Cutting Theory and Practice*, New Central Book Agency, Calcutta, 2000.