



# SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION IN STOCHASTIC DOMAIN USING SYSTEM OUTPUT ONLY

Sung-Man Park<sup>1</sup>, Woon-Kyung Yeo<sup>1</sup>, Dong-Hee Lee<sup>1</sup>, Kyo-Soon Chae<sup>1</sup>, Jong-Bok Lee<sup>2</sup>

and Hoon Heo<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Graduate Students, <sup>2</sup>Ph. D. Candidate, <sup>3</sup>Professor, Department of Control & Instrumentation engineering, Korea University, 1-5ka, Anam-Dong Sungbuk-ku, Seoul 137-701, South Korea yamjun99@korea.ac.kr

#### Abstract

Most of the study on system identification has been carried out using input/output relation in physical domain. Generally relation between input and output in physical domain gives important information to identify the system. However identification concept of stochastic system has not been reported up to now. Interest is focused to identify an unknown stochastic dynamic system under random external disturbances which is not measurable. A concept to identify the system parameters in stochastic domain is proposed and implemented in terms of simulation and experiment as well. Previously developed stochastic transformation method via F-P-K (Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov) equation is adopted to estimate the system output. Based on the system output only, it is attempted to identify the system parameters in inverse manner in stochastic domain. Both simulation and physical experiment are conducted to reveal quite noticeable expected performance of the proposed concept.

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

Generally analytical model can be constructed via mathematical formulation based on physical laws. Reasonable modeling for system is essential in system design and evaluation of the system performance. However improper or excessive assumption in dealing with physical law upon the system under consideration and incorrect information of system parameters possibly cause undesirable system response and unexpected system performance. Thus accurate estimation of the system parameter should be made to show reliable performance.

In order to resolve those problems lot of attempt has been done to make mathematical model close to real system. Especially system identification is quite important to control the system exposed to immeasurable random external disturbance. In the study, the method for system identification in stochastic system using random output only is proposed, which is quite different from conventional method.

# **2. SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION**

# 2.1 System Modeling in Stochastic Domain

A physical system defined in equation (1) is adopted as a system under random disturbance.

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = A\mathbf{x} + B\mathbf{u}(t)$$

$$\ddot{x} + 2\zeta \omega_n \dot{x} + \omega_n^2 x = f(t)$$
(1)

where A : system matrix,

- B : input matrix,
- **x** : state vector,
- **u** : input vector,
- $\varsigma$ : damping ratio,
- $\omega_n$ : natural frequency,
- x : displacement,
- $\dot{x}$ : velocity,
- $\ddot{x}$  : acceleration,

f(t): irregular disturbance which is not possible to measure



Figure 1. Conceptual System

Figure 1. shows concept of black box-type system under consideration in the study.

The system equation (1) in physical domain is transferred to dynamic moment equation in stochastic domain via F-P-K equation [1], [2].

$$\dot{m} = A_m m + P_m D_Z + B_m D_V \tag{2}$$

$$\dot{m} = A_m m + P_m D_7 \tag{3}$$

 $D_Z$  is the PSD of disturbance and  $D_V$  is the PSD of control input. Since no control is involved in the study, system equation (3) is used mainly after worth.

System matrix in stochastic domain is derived as in equation (4).

$$A_{m} = A(\omega_{n}, \zeta) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\omega_{n}^{2} & -2\zeta\omega_{n} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2\zeta\omega_{n} & -\omega_{n}^{2} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2\omega_{n}^{2} & 0 & -4\zeta\omega_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4)

# 2.2 System Identification Method

When immeasurable disturbance applied to the system with unknown parameters, natural frequency  $\omega_n$  and damping ratio  $\varsigma$ , the 2nd order moment equation for the system can be rewritten as following equation (5).

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{m}_{11} \\ \dot{m}_{20} \\ \dot{m}_{02} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2\zeta\omega_n & -\omega_n^2 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \\ -2\omega_n^2 & 0 & -4\zeta\omega_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m_{11} \\ m_{20} \\ m_{02} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ D_Z \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(5)

where 
$$m_{k_1,k_2,\cdots,k_n} = \int \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} X_1^{k_1} X_2^{k_2} \cdots X_n^{k_n} p(X,t) dX_1 dX_2 \cdots dX_n$$
 (6)

 $p(\underline{x},t)$  : non-stationary p.d.f.(probability density function)

Based upon previous research, relation between system random output and moment with system parameters is shown with equation (5) to gives equation (7) [3].

$$\omega_n, \ \zeta = \mathbf{A}^{-1}(m, \ \dot{m}) \tag{7}$$

Finally system parameters could be extracted based on previous analysis; following equation (8) is derived in terms of system dynamic moment response.[4]

$$\omega_{n} = \sqrt{\frac{2\dot{m}_{11}m_{02} - \dot{m}_{02}m_{11} - 2m_{02}^{2}}{2(m_{02}^{2} - m_{20}m_{02})}}$$

$$\zeta = \frac{\dot{m}_{02}m_{20} - 2\dot{m}_{11}m_{11} - 2m_{11}m_{02}}{4\omega_{n}(m_{02}^{2} - m_{20}m_{02})}$$
(8)

# **3. SIMULATION AND EXPERIMENT**

#### **3.1 Numerical Simulation**

As is seen in the Figure 1, system with unknown parameters is exposed to immeasurable irregular disturbance shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Unmeasurable Random Input



Figure 3. Random System Output



In simulation, natural frequency  $\omega_n$  is fluctuating around  $35 \pm 0.03 H_z$  and damping ratio  $\varsigma$  is also  $0.5 \pm 0.0004$  under stationary response as is in Figure 5 and 6.

# **3.2 Experiment**

Physical experiment is conducted using the system as is in Figure 7. The parameters of dynamic system are regarded as unknown ones. Then system parameters are extracted using equation (8) reveals certain value of m, c and k. System parameters used in experiment is listed in the Table 1.

Parameter	Value
Mass(kg)	0.45
K(Kg/m)	490
Natural frequency(Hz)	31.6

Table 1. Parameters



Figure 6. Experiment Model for unknown System

In experiment, the displacement and velocity is measured using laser sensor.

There are discrepancy between the mathematical result and experimental result in natural frequency  $\omega_n$  and damping ratio  $\varsigma$  as are shown in Figures (4), (5), (7), and (8). Nevertheless orders of the results are same and those two values are very close in certain range.

Experimental results are compared to those of analytical study as shown in Figures (9), (10).



Figure 7. Natural Frequency( $\omega_n$ ) from Experiment



Figure 9. Comparison of Natural Frequency ( $\omega_n$ )





Figure 10. Comparison of Damping Ratio ( $\varsigma$ )

# **4. CONCLUSIONS**

New concept of system identification method is proposed for dynamic system under random disturbance whose intensity or any other information is not acquirable. Random system output is the only information available, and then the proposed stochastic system identification method shows quite promising performance. There are discrepancy between the simulation and experimental values of system parameters, natural frequency ( $\omega_n$ ) and damping ratio ( $\zeta$ ), one of the reason for that may be white-noise assumption for external disturbance which is band-limited random process in actual. Intensive study is being carried out to figure out another reason for the discrepancy.

# REFERENCES

- [1] Hoon Heo, Yun-Hyun Cho, Dae-Jung Kim, "Stochastic control of flexible beam in random flutter", *Journal of the sound and vibration* Vol.267, pp.335-354 (2003).
- [2] Hoon Heo, Yun-Hyun Cho, Dae-Jung Kim, Jung-Youp Han, "Design Method of Controller in Stochastic Domain", No.0327508, patent in Korea, 2003
- [3] Alexei Lozinski, Robert G.Owens, Jiannong Fang, "A Fokker-Planck-based numerical method for modelling non-homogeneous flows of dilute polymeric solution", *Journal Non-newtonian Fluid Mechanics* Vol.122 pp.273-286 (2004)
- [4] Hoon Heo, Jong-Bok Lee, Sung-Man Park, Dong-Hee Lee, Kyo-Soon Chae, "System Identification Method using Random Output only", submitted on 26<sup>th</sup> of Mar. patent in Korea, 2007