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#### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the vibration of a vibratory gyroscope with imperfections. A linear model due to imperfections of material or manufacturing tolerance of a vibratory gyroscope is established. The operations of vibratory gyroscopes without or with imperfections are described under free vibration. Effects of the imperfections in terms of damping, gyroscopic, stiffness and circulation in the governing equations are analysed with multiple time scale method. Effects of the resulting angular frequency variation only and anisoelasticity are investigated via the variation of the elliptical orbit of a reference point on the element relative to a coordinate system fixed on the gyro.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Vibration gyroscope based on the modal vibration pattern of the ring or the hemispherical shell moves when the shell is rotated about its axis and this movement provides a measure of the applied rate of turn. Hemispherical resonator gyroscope excited with electrostatic field is not only expensive and hard to fabricate. We are developing a new type of hemispherical resonator gyroscope which is excited with discrete piezoceramic actuation and sensing elements bonded on the outer surface and close to the rim of the shell. A linear error model due to imperfections of material or manufacturing tolerance of the shell in terms of damping, gyroscopic, stiffness and circulatory was established [1]. The behaviour of the resulting angular frequency varying only and anisoelasticity are investigated via the variation of the elliptical orbit of a reference point on the element relative to a coordinate system fixed on the gyro.

## 2. IDEAL VIBRATORY GYROSCOPE

Governing equations for a vibratory gyroscope can be written in a general form as $\ddot{x}+\omega^{2} x=2 G \Omega \dot{y}, \quad \ddot{y}+\omega^{2} y=-2 G \Omega \dot{x}$.
where $x$ and $y$ represent a set of orthogonal general coordinates of a point on the longitudinal axis of the vibrating member measured in a plane fixed in the gyro and normal to the axis, $\omega$ is the radial frequency of the vibratory gyroscope, $\Omega(\ll \omega)$ is the rotating speed of the gyro, and G is the sensing coefficient. Equation (3) is normalized with
$\underline{t} \equiv \omega t, \frac{d^{2}}{\omega^{2} d t^{2}}=\frac{d^{2}}{d \underline{t}^{2}}, \underline{\Omega}=\frac{\Omega}{\omega}=\Omega^{*}, x(t)=X(\underline{t}), y(t)=Y(\underline{t})$
to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{X}+X=2 \varepsilon G \Omega^{*} \dot{Y}, \quad \ddot{Y}+Y=-2 \varepsilon G \Omega^{*} \dot{X}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is a small parameter in perturbation method and $\omega=1$.

### 2.1 Elliptical orbit

For non-rotating case; $\omega=0$, the general solution of Eq. (3) is given by
$X(\underline{t})=A \cos \varphi \cos (\underline{t}+\vartheta)-B \sin \varphi \sin (\underline{t}+\vartheta)$,
$Y(\underline{t})=A \sin \varphi \cos (\underline{t}+\vartheta)+B \cos \varphi \sin (\underline{t}+\vartheta)$.
The motion of the point ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ ) is an ellipse in the $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Y}$ plane, as shown in Figure 2. Parameters $A, B, \varphi, \vartheta$ are constants that depend on the initial conditions. Parameters $A, B, \varphi$ define the shape and orientation of the ellipse, and $\vartheta$ the orbital angle.


Figure 2 Elliptical orbit
The normalized general energy $E_{N G}$ and angular momentum are $A_{N G}$ given by
$E_{N G}=\frac{1}{2}\left(X^{2}+Y^{2}+\dot{X}^{2}+\dot{Y}^{2}\right), \quad A_{N G}=X \dot{Y}-\dot{X} Y$.
and
$E_{N G}=\frac{1}{2}\left(A^{2}+B^{2}\right), \quad A_{N G}=A B$.
The motion of the point $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})$ is a straight line $(B=0)$ when the angular momentum is zero.

### 2.2 Angular velocity sensing

For non-zero angular velocity, a perturbation technique based on the method of two time scales is used to solve the governing equation [3]. The vibration solution of an ideal vibratory gyro is $X=2\left(r_{1} \cos \left(\varepsilon G \Omega^{*} \underline{t}\right)+r_{2} \sin \left(\varepsilon G \Omega^{*} \underline{t}\right)\right) \cos \underline{t}+2\left(-r_{3} \cos \left(\varepsilon G \Omega^{*} \underline{t}\right)-r_{4} \sin \left(\varepsilon G \Omega^{*} \underline{t}\right)\right)+\mathrm{O}(\varepsilon) \sin \underline{t}$,
$Y=2\left(r_{2} \cos \left(\varepsilon G \Omega^{*} \underline{t}\right)-r_{1} \sin \left(\varepsilon G \Omega^{*} \underline{t}\right)\right) \cos \underline{t}+2\left(-r_{4} \cos \left(\varepsilon G \Omega^{*} \underline{t}\right)+r_{3} \sin \left(\varepsilon G \Omega^{*} \underline{t}\right)\right)+\mathrm{O}(\varepsilon) \sin \underline{t}$.
In form of the elliptical orbit general solution we have
$X=X_{C} \cos T_{0}+X_{S} \sin T_{0}, \quad Y=Y_{C} \cos T_{0}+Y_{S} \sin T_{0}$.
$X_{C}=A \cos \varphi \cos \vartheta-B \sin \varphi \sin \vartheta, \quad X_{S}=-A \cos \varphi \sin \vartheta-B \sin \varphi \cos \vartheta$,
$Y_{C}=A \sin \varphi \cos \vartheta+B \cos \varphi \sin \vartheta, \quad Y_{S}=-A \sin \varphi \sin \vartheta+B \cos \varphi \cos \vartheta$.
The behaviour of the orbit parameters is investigated by differentiating Eq. (8) to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{\prime}= & X_{C}{ }^{\prime} \cos \varphi \cos \vartheta-X_{S}{ }^{\prime} \cos \varphi \sin \vartheta+Y_{C}{ }^{\prime} \sin \varphi \cos \vartheta-Y_{S}{ }^{\prime} \sin \varphi \sin \vartheta, \\
B^{\prime}= & -X_{C}{ }^{\prime} \sin \varphi \sin \vartheta-X_{S}{ }^{\prime} \sin \varphi \cos \vartheta+Y_{C}{ }^{\prime} \cos \varphi \sin \vartheta+Y_{S}{ }^{\prime} \cos \varphi \cos \vartheta, \\
\varphi^{\prime}= & \frac{1}{A^{2}-B^{2}}\left[X_{C}{ }^{\prime}\left(-A \sin \varphi \cos \vartheta+B \cos \varphi \sin \vartheta_{0}\right)+X_{S}{ }^{\prime}(A \sin \varphi \sin \vartheta+B \cos \varphi \cos \vartheta)\right.  \tag{9}\\
& \left.+Y_{C}{ }^{\prime}(A \cos \varphi \cos \vartheta+B \sin \varphi \sin \vartheta)+Y_{S}{ }^{\prime}(-A \cos \varphi \sin \vartheta+B \sin \varphi \cos \vartheta)\right], \\
\vartheta^{\prime}= & -\frac{1}{A^{2}-B^{2}}\left[X_{C}{ }^{\prime}(A \cos \varphi \sin \vartheta-B \sin \varphi \cos \vartheta)+X_{S}{ }^{\prime}(A \cos \varphi \cos \vartheta+B \sin \varphi \sin \vartheta)\right. \\
& \left.+Y_{C}{ }^{\prime}(A \sin \varphi \sin \vartheta+B \cos \varphi \cos \vartheta)+Y_{S}{ }^{\prime}(A \sin \varphi \cos \vartheta-B \cos \varphi \sin \vartheta)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

The solution of the rotating gyro represent in elliptical orbit form, we have the amplitude terms $X_{C}=2 a_{1}=2\left(r_{1} \cos \left(G \Omega^{*} T_{1}\right)+r_{2} \sin \left(G \Omega^{*} T_{1}\right)\right), \quad X_{S}=-2 b_{1}=2\left(-r_{3} \cos \left(G \Omega^{*} T_{1}\right)-r_{4} \sin \left(G \Omega^{*} T_{1}\right)\right)$,
$Y_{C}=2 a_{2}=2\left(r_{2} \cos \left(G \Omega^{*} T_{1}\right)-r_{1} \sin \left(G \Omega^{*} T_{1}\right)\right), \quad Y_{S}=-2 b_{2}=2\left(-r_{4} \cos \left(G \Omega^{*} T_{1}\right)+r_{3} \sin \left(G \Omega^{*} T_{1}\right)\right)$.
Equation (10) is differentiated with respect to $T_{1}$, we obtain
$X_{C}{ }^{\prime}=G \Omega^{*} Y_{C}, \quad X_{S}{ }^{\prime}=G \Omega^{*} Y_{S}, \quad Y_{C}{ }^{\prime}=-G \Omega^{*} X_{C}, \quad Y_{S}{ }^{\prime}=-G \Omega^{*} X_{S}$.
The change rate of the orbit is obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\prime}=0, \quad B^{\prime}=0, \quad \varphi^{\prime}=-G \Omega^{*}, \quad \vartheta^{\prime}=0 . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The change rate of the $\varphi$ is proportional to the rotating speed of the gyro and $G$ is the sensing coefficient. The orbit of the point ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ ) is no longer an ellipse but has a roseate appearance as shown in Figure 2.


Figure 2 The precession of the orbit of a gyro with non-zero rotation

## 3. NONIDEAL VIBRATORY GYROSCOPE

A linear error model due to imperfections of materials or manufacturing tolerance of the gyro in terms of damping $c$, gyroscopic $g$, stiffness $k$ and circulation $h$ in the governing equations are written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{X}+X-2 \varepsilon G \Omega^{*} \dot{Y}=-2 \varepsilon\left(c_{s} \dot{X}-c_{a} \dot{X}+g_{s} \dot{Y}-g_{a} \dot{Y}+k_{s} X-k_{a} X+h_{s} Y-h_{a} Y\right), \\
& \ddot{Y}+Y+2 \varepsilon G \Omega^{*} \dot{X}=-2 \varepsilon\left(c_{s} \dot{Y}+c_{a} \dot{Y}+g_{s} \dot{X}+g_{a} \dot{X}+k_{s} Y+k_{a} Y+h_{s} X+h_{a} X\right) . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Errors are assumed relatively small and are treated through $\varepsilon$ as small perturbations. Subscripts $c$ and $s$ are representing symmetrical and anti-symmetrical terms, respectively. Parameters $c_{s}, c_{a}, ~ g_{s}, ~ g_{a}$, $k_{s}, ~ k_{a}, ~ h_{s}$ and $h_{a}$ are assumed constants in time. Each term in the linear equation Eq. (13) can be considered separately and then superposed. Equations of error due to the symmetric part of damping $c_{s}$ on non-rotating ( $\Omega=0$ ) gyro are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{X}+X=-2 \varepsilon c_{s} \dot{X}, \quad \ddot{Y}+Y=-2 \varepsilon c_{s} \dot{Y} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$A^{\prime}=-A c_{s}, \quad B^{\prime}=-B c_{s}, \quad \varphi^{\prime}=0, \quad \vartheta^{\prime}=0$.
Equations for error due to the anti-symmetric part of damping $c_{a}$, we have
$\ddot{X}+X=2 \varepsilon c_{a} \dot{X}, \quad \ddot{Y}+Y=-2 \varepsilon c_{a} \dot{Y}$.
$A^{\prime}=c_{a} A \cos 2 \varphi, \quad B^{\prime}=-c_{a} B \cos 2 \varphi, \quad \varphi^{\prime}=-\frac{\left(A^{2}+B^{2}\right) \sin 2 \varphi}{A^{2}-B^{2}} c_{a}, \quad \vartheta^{\prime}=\frac{2 A B \sin 2 \varphi}{A^{2}-B^{2}} c_{a}$.
Similarly, we obtain orbit change rate due to errors of stiffness $k_{s}$ and $k_{a}$, respectively,
$A^{\prime}=0$,
$B^{\prime}=0$,
$\varphi^{\prime}=0, \quad \vartheta^{\prime}=k_{s}$,
and $A^{\prime}=k_{a} B \sin 2 \varphi, \quad B^{\prime}=-k_{a} A \sin 2 \varphi, \quad \varphi^{\prime}=\frac{2 A B \cos 2 \varphi}{A^{2}-B^{2}} k_{a}, \quad \vartheta^{\prime}=-\frac{\left(A^{2}+B^{2}\right) \cos 2 \varphi}{A^{2}-B^{2}} k_{a}$.
Orbit change rate due to errors of gyroscopic $g_{s}$ and $g_{a}$, respectively,
$A^{\prime}=-g_{s} A \sin 2 \varphi, \quad B^{\prime}=g_{s} B \sin 2 \varphi, \quad \varphi^{\prime}=-\frac{\left(A^{2}+B^{2}\right) \cos 2 \varphi}{A^{2}-B^{2}} g_{s}, \quad \vartheta^{\prime}=\frac{2 A B \cos 2 \varphi}{A^{2}-B^{2}} g_{s}$.
and $A^{\prime}=0, \quad B^{\prime}=0, \quad \varphi^{\prime}=-g_{a}, \quad \vartheta^{\prime}=0$.
Orbit change rate due to errors of circulatory $h_{s}$ and $h_{a}$, respectively,
$A^{\prime}=h_{s} B \cos 2 \varphi, \quad B^{\prime}=-h_{s} A \cos 2 \varphi, \quad \varphi^{\prime}=-\frac{2 A B \sin 2 \varphi}{A^{2}-B^{2}} h_{s}, \quad \vartheta^{\prime}=\frac{\left(A^{2}+B^{2}\right) \sin 2 \varphi}{A^{2}-B^{2}} h_{s}$.
and $\quad A^{\prime}=-B h_{a}, \quad B^{\prime}=-A h_{a}, \quad \varphi^{\prime}=0, \quad \vartheta^{\prime}=0$.
We obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
A^{\prime} \\
B^{\prime} \\
\varphi^{\prime} \\
\vartheta^{\prime}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
-g_{a} \\
k_{s}
\end{array}\right\}+\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-c_{s} & -h_{a} & 0 & 0 \\
-h_{a} & -c_{s} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
A \\
B \\
\varphi \\
\vartheta
\end{array}\right\}  \tag{23}\\
& +\left\{\begin{array}{c}
c_{a} A \cos 2 \varphi-g_{s} A \sin 2 \varphi+k_{a} B \sin 2 \varphi+h_{s} B \cos 2 \varphi \\
-c_{a} B \cos 2 \varphi+g_{s} B \sin 2 \varphi-k_{a} A \sin 2 \varphi-h_{s} A \cos 2 \varphi \\
-\frac{\left(A^{2}+B^{2}\right) \sin 2 \varphi}{A^{2}-B^{2}} c_{a}-\frac{\left(A^{2}+B^{2}\right) \cos 2 \varphi}{A^{2}-B^{2}} g_{s}+\frac{2 A B \cos 2 \varphi}{A^{2}-B^{2}} k_{a}-\frac{2 A B \sin 2 \varphi}{A^{2}-B^{2}} h_{s} \\
\frac{2 A B \sin 2 \varphi}{A^{2}-B^{2}} C_{a}+\frac{2 A B \cos 2 \varphi}{A^{2}-B^{2}} g_{s}-\frac{\left(A^{2}+B^{2}\right) \cos 2 \varphi}{A^{2}-B^{2}} k_{a}+\frac{\left(A^{2}+B^{2}\right) \sin 2 \varphi}{A^{2}-B^{2}} h_{s}
\end{array}\right\} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

The constant terms in the RHS of Eq. (24); the gyroscopic anti-symmetric error $g_{a}$ changes only $\varphi$ but not A, B and the phase angle $\vartheta$, the other constant term $k_{s}$ changes the frequency only as shown in Figures 3a and 3b. For zero rate input ( $\Omega=0$ ), and without $k_{s}$ and $g_{a}$, we have :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{X}+X=-2 \varepsilon\left(c_{s} \dot{X}-c_{a} \dot{X}+g_{s} \dot{Y}-k_{a} X+h_{s} Y-h_{a} Y\right), \\
& \ddot{Y}+Y=-2 \varepsilon\left(c_{s} \dot{Y}+c_{a} \dot{Y}+g_{s} \dot{X}+k_{a} Y+h_{s} X+h_{a} X\right) . \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

A perturbation technique based on the methods of two time scales is used to solved above equations to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
X=\exp [ & \left.-\left(c_{s}-\zeta\right) T_{1}\right]\left(X_{C N} \cos \left(T_{0}-\kappa T_{1}\right)+X_{S N} \sin \left(T_{0}-\kappa T_{1}\right)\right) \\
& +\exp \left[-\left(c_{s}+\zeta\right) T_{1}\right]\left(X_{C P} \cos \left(T_{0}+\kappa T_{1}\right)+X_{S P} \sin \left(T_{0}+\kappa T_{1}\right)\right), \\
Y=\exp [ & \left.-\left(c_{s}-\zeta\right) T_{1}\right]\left(Y_{C N} \cos \left(T_{0}-\kappa T_{1}\right)+Y_{S N} \sin \left(T_{0}-\kappa T_{1}\right)\right)  \tag{26}\\
& +\exp \left[-\left(c_{s}+\zeta\right) T_{1}\right]\left(Y_{C P} \cos \left(T_{0}+\kappa T_{1}\right)+Y_{S P} \sin \left(T_{0}+\kappa T_{1}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The subscripts in Eq. (26) are: ${ }_{C}$ for cosine , ${ }_{S}$ for sine, ${ }_{N}$ for $-\kappa,{ }_{P}$ for $+\kappa$, and
$c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ and $c_{4}$ are integral constants, determining by the initial conditions.

(a)

(b)

Figure 3 Orbit change due to gyroscopic error $g_{a}$ (a) and stiffness error $k_{s}>0$ (b)
$X$ and $Y$ are combined with four harmonic vibrations, Eq. (26). Angular frequencies of the vibration are varied from 1 (normalized) to $1+\varepsilon \kappa$ and $1-\varepsilon \kappa$. For free vibration, $c_{s} \geq \zeta \geq 0$.

### 3.1 Effect of angular frequency varies only ( $\kappa \neq 0, \zeta=0$ )

For angular frequency varies only case, Eq. (27) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{r}=c_{a}{ }^{2}+g_{s}^{2}+h_{a}{ }^{2}-h_{s}^{2}-k_{a}{ }^{2}<0 . \quad E_{i}=g_{s} h_{s}+c_{a} k_{a}=0 . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have
$\zeta=0, \quad \kappa=\sqrt{-\left(c_{a}{ }^{2}+g_{s}{ }^{2}+h_{a}{ }^{2}-h_{s}{ }^{2}-k_{a}{ }^{2}\right)} \geq 0$.
This is the case of angular frequency varies only.
Substitute Eq. (30) into Eq.(27) and Eq. (26) to obtain

$$
X=\exp \left(-c_{s} T_{1}\right)\left(X_{C N} \cos \left(T_{0}-\kappa T_{1}\right)+X_{S N} \sin \left(T_{0}-\kappa T_{1}\right)+X_{C P} \cos \left(T_{0}+\kappa T_{1}\right)+X_{S P} \sin \left(T_{0}+\kappa T_{1}\right)\right),
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=\exp \left(-c_{s} T_{1}\right)\left(Y_{C N} \cos \left(T_{0}-\kappa T_{1}\right)+Y_{S N} \sin \left(T_{0}-\kappa T_{1}\right)+Y_{C P} \cos \left(T_{0}+\kappa T_{1}\right)+Y_{S P} \sin \left(T_{0}+\kappa T_{1}\right)\right), \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
X_{C N}=\frac{c_{1}\left(\kappa+k_{a}\right)+c_{3}\left(h_{a}-h_{s}\right)-c_{2} c_{a}+c_{4} g_{s}}{\kappa}, \quad X_{S N}=\frac{-c_{1} c_{a}+c_{3} g_{s}-c_{2}\left(\kappa+k_{a}\right)-c_{4}\left(h_{a}-h_{s}\right)}{\kappa},
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \zeta=\sqrt{\frac{E_{r}}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{E_{i}^{2}+E_{r}^{2}}} \geq 0, \quad \kappa=\sqrt{-\frac{E_{r}}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{E_{i}^{2}+E_{r}^{2}}} \geq 0 . \\
& E_{r}=c_{a}{ }^{2}+g_{s}{ }^{2}+h_{a}{ }^{2}-h_{s}{ }^{2}-k_{a}{ }^{2}, \quad E_{i}=g_{s} h_{s}+c_{a} k_{a} . \\
& X_{C P}=\frac{\left[g_{s}^{2}+\left(h_{a}+h_{s}\right)^{2}\right]\left(c_{1} \gamma_{2}+c_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)+c_{3} \gamma_{3}-c_{4} \gamma_{4}}{\left(\zeta^{2}+\kappa^{2}\right)\left[g_{s}^{2}+\left(h_{a}+h_{s}\right)^{2}\right]}, X_{S P}=\frac{\left[g_{s}^{2}+\left(h_{a}+h_{s}\right)^{2}\right]\left(c_{1} \gamma_{1}-c_{2} \gamma_{2}\right)-c_{3} \gamma_{4}-c_{4} \gamma_{3}}{\left(\zeta^{2}+\kappa^{2}\right)\left[g_{s}^{2}+\left(h_{a}+h_{s}\right)^{2}\right]}, \\
& X_{C N}=\frac{\left[g_{s}^{2}+\left(h_{a}+h_{s}\right)^{2}\right]\left(c_{1} \gamma_{5}-c_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)-c_{3} \gamma_{3}+c_{4} \gamma_{4}}{\left(\zeta^{2}+\kappa^{2}\right)\left[g_{s}^{2}+\left(h_{a}+h_{s}\right)^{2}\right]}, X_{S N}=\frac{\left[g_{s}^{2}+\left(h_{a}+h_{s}\right)^{2}\right]\left(-c_{1} \gamma_{1}-c_{2} \gamma_{5}\right)+c_{3} \gamma_{4}+c_{4} \gamma_{3}}{\left(\zeta^{2}+\kappa^{2}\right)\left[g_{s}^{2}+\left(h_{a}+h_{s}\right)^{2}\right]}, \\
& Y_{C P}=\frac{c_{1} \gamma_{6}-c_{2} \gamma_{7}+c_{3} \gamma_{5}-c_{4} \gamma_{1}}{\left(\zeta^{2}+\kappa^{2}\right)}, \quad Y_{S P}=\frac{c_{1} \gamma_{7}+c_{2} \gamma_{6}+c_{3} \gamma_{1}+c_{4} \gamma_{5}}{\left(\zeta^{2}+\kappa^{2}\right)}, \\
& Y_{C N}=\frac{-c_{1} \gamma_{6}+c_{2} \gamma_{7}+c_{3} \gamma_{2}+c_{4} \gamma_{1}}{\left(\zeta^{2}+\kappa^{2}\right)}, \quad Y_{S N}=\frac{c_{1} \gamma_{7}+c_{2} \gamma_{6}+c_{3} \gamma_{1}-c_{4} \gamma_{2}}{\left(\zeta^{2}+\kappa^{2}\right)} .  \tag{28}\\
& \gamma_{1}=\kappa C_{a}-\zeta k_{a}, \quad \gamma_{2}=\zeta^{2}+\kappa^{2}-\zeta c_{a}-\kappa k_{a}, \\
& \gamma_{3}=\left(h_{a}+h_{s}\right)\left[\kappa\left(\zeta^{2}+\kappa^{2}+c_{a}{ }^{2}-k_{a}{ }^{2}\right)-2 \zeta c_{a} k_{a}\right]+g_{s}\left[\zeta\left(\zeta^{2}+\kappa^{2}-c_{a}{ }^{2}+k_{a}{ }^{2}\right)-2 \kappa c_{a} k_{a}\right] \text {, } \\
& \gamma_{4}=\left(h_{a}+h_{s}\right)\left[\zeta\left(\zeta^{2}+\kappa^{2}-c_{a}{ }^{2}+k_{a}{ }^{2}\right)-2 \kappa c_{a} k_{a}\right]-g_{s}\left[\kappa\left(\zeta^{2}+\kappa^{2}+c_{a}{ }^{2}-k_{a}{ }^{2}\right)-2 \zeta c_{a} k_{a}\right] \text {, }  \tag{29}\\
& \gamma_{5}=\zeta^{2}+\kappa^{2}+\zeta c_{a}+\kappa k_{a}, \quad \gamma_{6}=\zeta g_{s}+\kappa\left(h_{a}+h_{s}\right), \quad \gamma_{7}=\kappa g_{s}-\zeta\left(h_{a}+h_{s}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
X_{C P}=\frac{c_{1}\left(\kappa-k_{a}\right)-c_{3}\left(h_{a}-h_{s}\right)+c_{2} c_{a}-c_{4} g_{s}}{\kappa}, & X_{S P}=\frac{c_{1} c_{a}-c_{3} g_{s}-c_{2}\left(\kappa-k_{a}\right)+c_{4}\left(h_{a}-h_{s}\right)}{\kappa}, \\
Y_{C N}=\frac{-c_{1}\left(h_{a}+h_{s}\right)+c_{3}\left(\kappa-k_{a}\right)+c_{2} g_{s}+c_{4} c_{a}}{\kappa}, & Y_{S N}=\frac{c_{1} g_{s}+c_{3} c_{a}+c_{2}\left(h_{a}+h_{s}\right)-c_{4}\left(\kappa-k_{a}\right)}{\kappa},  \tag{33}\\
Y_{C P}=\frac{c_{1}\left(h_{a}+h_{s}\right)+c_{3}\left(\kappa+k_{a}\right)-c_{2} g_{s}-c_{4} c_{a}}{\kappa}, & Y_{S P}=\frac{-c_{1} g_{s}-c_{3} c_{a}-c_{2}\left(h_{a}+h_{s}\right)-c_{4}\left(\kappa+k_{a}\right)}{\kappa} .
\end{array}
$$

X and Y are combined motion of four harmonic oscillations with amplitudes of linear combinations of $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ and $c_{4}$, and also of function of the coefficients of errors. For each given initial value there are two elliptic orbits having same orientation angle correspond to $1+\varepsilon \kappa$ and $1-\varepsilon \kappa$, as shown in Fig. 4.


Figure 4 Orbits for angular frequencies (a) $1-\varepsilon \kappa$ and (b) $1+\varepsilon \kappa$ with initial values of 1 s . For a set of given $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ and $c_{4}$, there are two orbits of frequencies $1-\varepsilon \kappa$ and $1+\varepsilon \kappa$ with same orbit angle $\vartheta$ as that of individual $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ and $c_{4}$ and these two orbits combine to form the trajectory in XY plane as shown in Fig. 5.


Figure 5 Orbits with frequencies $1-\varepsilon \kappa$ and $1+\varepsilon \kappa$ and trajectories in XY plane
In Figure 5, when the orbit with angular frequency $1+\varepsilon \kappa$ reached the apogee A , the orbit of $1-\varepsilon \kappa$ is lag behind and with origin at A rather than O . The trajectory is formed by the orbits of angular frequency $1-\varepsilon \kappa$ with origins at each point of the trajectories of angular frequency $1+\varepsilon \kappa$. Major axes of the orbit of angular frequencies $1-\varepsilon \kappa$ and $1+\varepsilon \kappa$ are referred as the "stiff" axis and the "soft" axis, respectively. The orientation angles of orbits of $1-\varepsilon \kappa$ and $1+\varepsilon \kappa$ are given by
$\varphi_{n l}=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{Y_{C N} \cos \vartheta_{n l}+Y_{S N} \sin \vartheta_{n l}}{X_{C N} \cos \vartheta_{n l}+X_{S N} \sin \vartheta_{n l}}\right) . \quad \varphi_{p l}=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{Y_{C P} \cos \vartheta_{p l}+Y_{S P} \sin \vartheta_{p l}}{X_{C P} \cos \vartheta_{p l}+X_{S P} \sin \vartheta_{p l}}\right)$.
The orientation angle of the ellipse is oscillating between the stiff and the soft axes.

### 3.2 Effect of anisoelasticity on orbit

Anisoelasticity effect is a particular case of angular frequency changing only ( $\kappa \neq 0, \zeta=0$ ). The stiffness matrix is written in terms of isoelasticity and anisoelasticity as
$\left[\begin{array}{cc}k_{s} & 0 \\ 0 & k_{s}\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{cc}-k_{a} & h_{s}-h_{a} \\ h_{s}+h_{a} & k_{a}\end{array}\right]$
The isoelasticity effect is considered in the constant term in Eq. (26). For the anisoelasticity $c_{s}=0, \quad c_{a}=0, \quad g_{s}=0$.
Equation (27) becomes
$E_{r}=h_{a}{ }^{2}-h_{s}{ }^{2}-k_{a}{ }^{2}, \quad E_{i}=0$.
When $E_{r}<0, \quad \zeta=0, \quad \kappa=\sqrt{-\left(h_{a}{ }^{2}-h_{s}{ }^{2}-k_{a}{ }^{2}\right)} \geq 0$.
$X=X_{C N} \cos \left(T_{0}-\kappa T_{1}\right)+X_{S N} \sin \left(T_{0}-\kappa T_{1}\right)+X_{C P} \cos \left(T_{0}+\kappa T_{1}\right)+X_{S P} \sin \left(T_{0}+\kappa T_{1}\right)$,
$Y=Y_{C N} \cos \left(T_{0}-\kappa T_{1}\right)+Y_{S N} \sin \left(T_{0}-\kappa T_{1}\right)+Y_{C P} \cos \left(T_{0}+\kappa T_{1}\right)+Y_{S P} \sin \left(T_{0}+\kappa T_{1}\right)$.
where $\quad X_{C N}=\frac{c_{1}\left(\kappa+k_{a}\right)+c_{3}\left(h_{a}-h_{s}\right)}{\kappa}, \quad X_{S N}=-\frac{c_{2}\left(\kappa+k_{a}\right)+c_{4}\left(h_{a}-h_{s}\right)}{\kappa}$,
$X_{C P}=\frac{c_{1}\left(\kappa-k_{a}\right)-c_{3}\left(h_{a}-h_{s}\right)}{\kappa}, \quad X_{S P}=-\frac{c_{2}\left(\kappa-k_{a}\right)-c_{4}\left(h_{a}-h_{s}\right)}{\kappa}$,
$Y_{C N}=-\frac{c_{1}\left(h_{a}+h_{s}\right)-c_{3}\left(\kappa-k_{a}\right)}{\kappa}, \quad Y_{S N}=\frac{c_{2}\left(h_{a}+h_{s}\right)-c_{4}\left(\kappa-k_{a}\right)}{\kappa}$,
$Y_{C P}=\frac{c_{1}\left(h_{a}+h_{s}\right)+c_{3}\left(\kappa+k_{a}\right)}{\kappa}, \quad Y_{S P}=-\frac{c_{2}\left(h_{a}+h_{s}\right)+c_{4}\left(\kappa+k_{a}\right)}{\kappa}$.
We have $\quad X_{C N} Y_{S N}-X_{S N} Y_{C N}=\frac{\left(c_{2} c_{3}-c_{1} c_{4}\right)\left(\kappa^{2}+h_{a}{ }^{2}-h_{s}{ }^{2}-k_{a}{ }^{2}\right)}{\kappa^{2}}=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{C P} Y_{S P}-X_{S P} Y_{C P}=\frac{\left(c_{2} c_{3}-c_{1} c_{4}\right)\left(\kappa^{2}+h_{a}^{2}-h_{s}^{2}-k_{a}^{2}\right)}{\kappa^{2}}=0 . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

The oscillations of angular frequencies $1-\varepsilon \kappa$ and $1+\varepsilon \kappa$ are become a line orbits with directions of $\boldsymbol{b}_{1}=\left(X_{C N}, Y_{C N}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{b}_{2}=\left(X_{C P}, Y_{C P}\right)$, respectively, as shown in Figure 6
The incline angles of the line oscillations of angular frequencies $1-\varepsilon \kappa$ and $1+\varepsilon \kappa$ are
$\tan \varphi_{n l}=-\left(h_{a}+h_{s}\right) /\left(\kappa+k_{a}\right), \quad \tan \varphi_{n l}=-\left(h_{a}+h_{s}\right) /\left(k_{a}-\kappa\right)$.
In general, the initial values are not limit the motions on the principal axes $\boldsymbol{b}_{a 1}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}_{a 2}$, the vibration is the combination of the vibrations on the two major axes determined by the initial values of $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ and $c_{4}$ as shown in Figure 8.
$X=\left[X(0) \cos \left(\kappa T_{1}\right)\right] \cos \left(T_{0}\right)+\left[\frac{X(0) k_{a}+Y(0)\left(h_{a}-h_{s}\right)}{\kappa} \sin \left(\kappa T_{1}\right)\right] \sin \left(T_{0}\right)$,
$Y=\left[Y(0) \cos \left(\kappa T_{1}\right)\right] \cos \left(T_{0}\right)+\left[\frac{-Y(0) k_{a}-X(0)\left(h_{a}+h_{s}\right)}{\kappa} \sin \left(\kappa T_{1}\right)\right] \sin \left(T_{0}\right)$.
The amplitude is a slowly varying periodic function, when $\kappa T_{1}=n \pi, n=0,1,2, \ldots$ the orbit becomes a line with incline angle $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Y}(0) / \mathrm{X}(0)$. This is one of the major axes of motion, A . when $\kappa T_{1}$ increasing, the amplitudes of $\cos T_{0}$ decreases and $\sin T_{0}$ increases, and the orbit becomes a line again when $\kappa T_{1}=n \pi+\pi / 2, n=0,1,2, \ldots$ with incline angle
$\frac{Y}{X}=\frac{-Y(0) k_{a}-X(0)\left(h_{a}+h_{s}\right)}{X(0) k_{a}+Y(0)\left(h_{a}-h_{s}\right)}$. This is also one of the major axes of motion, E. The incline angle of the orbit is oscillating between those two major axes.


Figure 6 Orbit of angular frequencies of (a) $1-\varepsilon \kappa$ and (b) $1+\varepsilon \kappa$


Figure 8 Orbit with anisoelasticity

## SUMMARY

The constitutive equations of a homogenous and anisotropic thin shell are derived in an invariant form. Multiple time scale method is used to derive the precession of the free vibrating shell with non-zero rotation. A reference point on the vibrating shell relative to a coordinate system fixed on the shell supporting frame moves in an elliptical orbit with period inversely proportional to the rotating speed of the shell. Linear error model due to imperfections of material or manufacturing tolerance of the shell in terms of damping, gyroscopic, stiffness and circulatory are established to derive the governing equations. The effects of the resulting angular frequency varying only and the anisoelasticity are investigated.
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