C Louis Fouvy 241 Cotham Rd, Kew, Vic 3101

Extract from Acoustics Memoirs, in course of preparation

Acoustics is both a science and an art – a science because it is a body of organized knowledge; an art because this knowledge requires imagination in its application. The science and art of Acoustics has a very long history: that of architectural and mixed acoustics going back at least to the days of the Greek amphithenters and the musical studies of Pythagoras (6. 570– 00 BC). Most other branches of acoustics are of much more recent origin, such as the measurement and reduction of Noise (e., unwanted sound).

The history of the conducting of noise tests in the sphere of public transport operations has quite a long history, in this country going back at least to M&MTB (Melbourne & Metropolitan Tramways Board) operations in the later 1920s. These early tests were conducted as a result of strong public complaint about "noisy" trams. Many of these complaints occurred in areas where, as a result of tram track construction, reconstruction or maintenance using tracks with concrete foundation, the wheel-on-rail noise ("wheel rumble" noise, including that of wheel "flats") had noticeably increased. Because it was known that a concrete track foundation was less resilient than ballast and sleepers, most of the early tests were conducted to compare the noise of a selected test tram travelling over tracks of ballast (both open and paved), concrete, and modified-concrete constructions. Modifications to a concrete foundation were generally of a kind that introduced some degree of resilience into the rail support by means of, for example, timber or rubber.

These early noise measurements made in the later 1200 were made with a locally developed "noise meter" consisting of a microphone, simplifier and indicating output meter. While the measurements of the noise made by at runt travelling over the various types of track enabled some quaditative comparisons to be made from the different output meter readings, there was then no satisfactory quantitative way of interpreting them. The object sensor of that insurvers all very much in the add cound level and hudness units for satisfactority interpreting the output level and hudness units for satisfactority interpreting the output nearly instruments were not capable of coping with impulsive sounds.

With the introduction in the USA in the mid 1930s of national standards for Note Measurement (ASA Tentative Standard Z24,2–1936), and for noise-measuring instruments usch as the Sound Level Meter (224.3), the previous situation was greatly clarified. However, even then, many investigators concentrated to on unch on the sound level reading in decibels (dB), and tended to regard as of primary significance a change of λ 30 as representing a twofold change in sound energy (or λ

intensity), or $4 \circ dB$ as representing a twofold change in sound pressure, even though the relation between Loudness and Loudness Level (ASA Z24.3–1936, figure 2) showed that it was a change of the order of $\pm 10 \, dB$ which corresponded to a twofold change in the sensation of loudness.

For, in ASA 2243–1926 not only was Loudness only vaguely defined, but it was also not clearly stated that the loudness unit scale of ASA 2243–1926, figure 2 represented an arithmetic scale with scale numbers proportional to the senantion of loudness. This situation was not made clearer until for example, in British Standard (BS) 661:1935, Glossary of Accession 1 Terms, Lordness was defined as 1 was there in the senantian of loudness was defined as 1 was there are under the senantian of the senantian of the senantian of lought and the senantian of the senantian of the senantian of lought and the senantian of the senantian of the senantian the unit of loudness on a scale designed to give scale numbers proportional to the loudness (defin Soil 1)¹⁰.

Yet, these standard definitions, and other more general noise acles indicating, for example, that sound levels of 0 to 20 dB represented very faint sounds, 20-40 faint, 40-60 moderate, 60-80 load, 40-100 very load, and above 100 dB degeling, have not invariably been sufficiently persussive to get the noisements to reduce their unwarded cound. It has taken other more sounds to the sound their the sound to the sound the sound sound to the sound the sound to the sound to the sound Speech Instructure of the sound to the sound to the Speech Instructure of the sound to the sound to the sound Levels – and, ultimately, statutory Regulations for the Control of Noise to get the more stubborn noise-makers to act.

In Melbourne in the 1920s and 30s the chief remedies for minimizing tram wheel rumble were to maintain rail and wheel tread surfaces as smooth as possible, and free from corrugations and wheel flats. The M&MTB's continuing noise testing program was much helped by the purchase of a Sound Level Meter (a GR Model 759B) in the late 1930s, and by the establishment of an Engineering Testing Department in 1939 under the late Mr D H Eakins, whose organization included one or more engineers knowledgeable, inter alia, in making noise measurements. In this Testing Department, an early method of the statistical analysis of sound levels was developed in around 1950 by Mr K T Hall, by taking a large series of successive levels at about 3 seconds intervals, to obtain, for example, the resulting L10 and Luna, Also, the first M&MTB tape-recording of vehicle noise for later laboratory octave-band frequency analysis was carried out here by the author in 1957.

Over the intervening years, the problems of wheel-on-rail noise from trains and transh have been largely solved, through the use of resilient wheels, electric (including regenerative) braking, and resilient track foundations. The quieter operation of trains and trans in underground tunnels has been achieved, as is shown in the Melboure. Underground Rail Loop; and even "wheel squeal" on curved track has been significantly, if not always completely, reduced.