A SIMPLE FUNCTION FOR MODELLING THREE-DIMENSIONAL SCATTERING STRENGTH FROM THE OCEAN SURFACE

Zhi Yong Zhang

Maritime Operations Division, DSTO, P.O. Box 1500, Edinburgh SA 5111, Australia.

This paper was awarded the 2004 PRESIDENT'S PRIZE.

This prize established in 1990 by the Australian Acoustical Society, is awarded to the best technical paper presented at the Australian Acoustical Society Conference by a member of the Society.

Both the rough air-sea interface and emtrapped air tolefess due to wave breaking statter sound in all directions and contribute to so-called everbreation in attrive sonnt. There are monotatis score systems where the source and receiver are at the same position, bintis source systems where the source and receiver are separated, and multistatic source systems involving multiple sources and receivers at different positions. In monostatic is statuation, revertention is smally due to backscattering in bintatic and multiple sources and and costplates scattering are significant combutors. The empirical Chapman-Harris formula is often used to predict surface backscattering intergration from the same autificant is statuation, reverting formation from the same autificant is intergration. The empirical Chapman-Harris formula is often used to predict surface backscattering intergration from the same autificant is intergrating reversion from the same autificant is monostatic source. The empirical Chapman-Harris formula is often used to predict surface backscattering intergration is the destinable. Following antifer work, in this pape the separable form of backscattering models are backscattering to explosite the destinable. The empiricant is and the surface instation is composited at the autificant statuable. The empiricant is and the same backscattering models are backscattering in the destinable. The empiricant is and the same backscattering models are backscattering in empiricant is and the same backscattering models are backscattering excession are more and the autificant in the same backscattering entergration is an and the same backscattering models are backscattering endoties and the same backscattering endoties are backscattering endoties are backscattering models are backscattering models are backscattering endoties are

INTRODUCTION

Wind generates rough sea surfaces. Wave breaking under strong winds also produces entrained air-bubbles below the sea surface. Both roughness of the sea surfaces and the trapped air bubbles scatter sound from sonar and lead to surface reverberation.

Scattering occurs out-of-plane as well as within the vertical plane containing the source and revierve. Modelling active sonar reverberation from the sea surface requires assessment of the surface scattering strength. For monostatic sonar where the transmitter and receivers are co-located, the reverberation is mainly due to backscattering. For multistatic sonar where multiple transmitters and receivers are spatially distributed, there are additional contributions to the received reverberation from forward and out-of-plane scattering.

The empirical Chapman-Harris formula [1] of surface scattering strength is often used for modeling monostatic sonar reverberation. To more accurately predict reverberation in malitstatic active sonar systems, formulas for threedimensional scattering are desirable. Gauss et al (2000, 2002) [2,3] presented a semi-empirical surface scattering strength (SESSS) model that combines incoherent scattering from the rough air-sea interface with scattering from the bubble clouds.

This work follows the approach in Ellis and Crowe (1991) [4] and Camthers and Novarini (1993) [5] where backscattering models are extended by using the so-called separable approximation, and then combined with a term oblinied under the Krithford approximation to obtain a threedimensional scattering function. In this paper we use the empirical Chapman-Harris formula [1] as our backscattering model. We further modify the expression obtained using the shadowing factor in Torrance and Sporrow (1967) [6] and compare the results with those of Gauss et al (2000,2002) [2,3].

Due to the empirical nature of the Chapman-Harris backscattering model, the expression obtained here includes the effects of both the roughness of the sea surfaces and the sub-surface bubbles. The formula is simple to use in multistatic active soare performance models.

CHAPMAN-HARRIS BACKSCATTERING MODEL

In underwater acoustics, the ability to scatter sound from extended objects such as the sea surface is often characterized by a scattering strength, which is defined as the ratio in decibles of the intensity of the sound scattered by a unit surface area (normally chosen $| m^2 \rangle$, referred to a unit distance (normally 1 m), to the incident plane wave intensity. Based on messarements using explosives, Chapman-Harris (1962)[1] give the following empirical fit to messared surface backscattering strength in dB for wind speeds up to 15 m/s and frequencies from 400 to 6400 ftz.

$$S = 3.3 \beta \log_{10}(\theta/30) - 42.4 \log_{10}\beta + 2.6$$
, dB (1)
for $\beta = 107 (U f^{1/3})^{0.58}$,

where θ is grazing angle in degrees, U is wind speed in m/s, and f is frequency in Hz.

For later use, we re-write the Chapman-Harris formula in linear units,

$$b(\theta) = 10^{0.26} \beta^{-4.24} (\theta/30)^{0.33\beta}$$
, (2)

where $b(\theta)$ is referred to as the backscattering coefficient and is related to the surface scattering strength by $S = 10\log_{10} [b(\theta)]$.

THE THREE DIMENSIONAL SURFACE SCATTERING FUNCTION

The Model

Following Ellis and Crowe (1991) [4] and Caruthers and Novarini (1993) [5], we extend the Chapman-Harris backscattering formula b(θ) of Eq. (2) to a three-dimensional scattering function by the following formula,

$$m(\theta_i, \theta_s, \phi) = [b(\theta_i)b(\theta_s)]^{1/2} + D(\theta_i, \theta_s)F(\Omega)$$
 (3)

and

$$F(\Omega) = (8\pi\delta^2)^{-1}(1+\Omega)^2 \exp\left(-\frac{\Omega}{2\delta^2}\right),$$
 (4)

where $m(\theta_i, \theta_j, \phi)$ is the three-dimensional scattering coefficient, and θ_j, θ_j , are the incident and scattered grazing angles.

The parameter δ is the root-mean-squared slope of the rough sea surface, which can be approximated by the empirical expression of Cox and Munk (1954) [7],

$$\delta^2 = 0.003 + 5.12 \times 10^{-3}U \pm 0.004.$$
 (5)

The parameter Ω is a measure of the deflection of the scattering angle from the specular angle,

$$\Omega = \frac{\cos^2 \theta_i + \cos^2 \theta_s - 2 \cos \theta_i \cos \theta_s \cos \phi}{(\sin \theta_i + \sin \theta_s)^2}$$
(6)

where ϕ is the scattered azimuthal angle relative to the incident plane.

The first term in Eq. (3) represents so-called separable approximation to the backscattering model $h\partial \eta_1$, the term FQrepresents a forward scattering lobe in the high frequency limit from Gaussian-distributed facets under KirchhofTspyroximation[98,91] and the tangent plane approximation[98,91] and the function $D\partial_{\eta_1} = 0$ accounts for shadowing effects on the forward scattering lobe and is discussed below.

The Shadowing Factor

Adjacent facets may obstruct sound incident upon a given facet or the sound reflected by it. This masking and shadowing effect is especially important at low grazing angles. To account for this effect, we adopt the approximate shadowing factor in Torrance and Snarrov (1967) [6].

$$D(\theta_i, \theta_s) = \min\left(1, \frac{2\cos\alpha\sin\theta_i}{\cos\theta_i}, \frac{2\cos\alpha\sin\theta_i}{\cos\theta_i}\right), \quad (7)$$

where

$$\theta_i^{\prime} = (1/2) \cos^{-1} (\sin \theta_i \sin \theta_z - \cos \theta_i \cos \theta_z \cos \phi),$$
 (8)

and

$$\cos \alpha = \sin \theta_i \cos \theta_i^{\prime} + \cos \theta_i \sin \theta_i^{\prime} \cos \gamma,$$

 $\gamma = (\sin^{-1} (\cos \theta_i \sin \phi / \sin 2\theta_i^{\prime})).$
(9)

The shadowing factor in Eq. (7) is derived using the assumption that each facet is one side of a V-groove cavity, and sound rays only reflect once (i.e. multiple scattering is ignored).

For backscattering, $\theta_{g} = \theta_{\rho} \phi = \pi$, and the shadowing factor becomes unity.

It is worth pointing out that the empirical nature of the



Fig. 1. Surface backscattering strength at 1500 Hz for wind speeds from 2.5 m/s to 20 m/s. (a) Chapman-Harris model (b) Chapman-Harris model plus Kirchhoff facet scattering, (c) SESSS model of Gauss et al [2,3].











Fig. 2. Bistatic surface scattering strength versus scattered grazing angle. (a) Separable approximations of Chapman-Harris model (b) Separable approximations of Chapman-Harris model plus Kirchhoff facet scattering; (c) Separable approximations of Chapman-Harris model plus Kirchhoff facet scattering with shadowing effects; (d) SESSS model of Gauss et al [2,3].

Chapman-Harris backscattering model means that the first term in Eq.(3) contains scattering contributions from both the roughness of the sea surface and the sub-surface air bubbles, with azimuthally independent out-of-plane scattering. The second term in Eq.(3) represents scattering contributions from the roughness of the sea surface near the specular forward direction with azimuthally dependent out-of-plane scattering. The overall model in Eq.(3) as in spreader function of modelling three-dimensional scattering strength due to roughness of the sea surface and sub-surface air bubbles.

RESULTS

To assess the accuracy of the present model, we compare its results with those from the Semi-Empirical Surface Scattering Strength (ESSS) model [2,3] for two representative cases. The first case is for backscattering and the second case is for a particular configuration of three-dimensional scattering.

Backscattering strength

Figure 1 shows results of comparison of the surface backscattering strength for wind speeds from 2.5 m Not s0.2 m/s at an acoustic frequency of 1500 Hz. Gauss et al [2,3] show that at low grazing angles, scattering from sub-surface bubbleclouds dominates when wave breaking is significant. At high grazing angles, scattering is mainly due to ocean surface roughness.

We can see that the Chapman-Harris model plus the diffuse scattering lobe is closer to the results from the SESSS model. However, there are appreciable differences between the two.

We note that the parameters in the semi-empirical SESSS model and the original Chapman-Harris model are fitted using different data sets. It may be possible to obtain better agreements between the present model and the SESSS model if the empirical parameters of the original Chapman-Harris model were re-fitted using the same data set as that used for the SESSS model.

Bistatic scattering strength

Figure 2 shows an example of comparison of the bistatic surface scattering strength for wind speeds from 2.5 m/s to 20 m/s at an acoustic frequency of 1500 Hz. The particular case shown here is for an incident grazing angle of 45 degrees and an azimuthal angle of also 45 degrees. We can see that the shadowing factor improved the agreement at low grazing angles between the present model and the SESSS model.

It is of interest to note that, similar to the present model, the SESSS model is a summation of scattering strengths of azimuthally independent scattering due to air bubbles and azimuthally dependent scattering due to roughness of the sea surface.

SUMMARY

Following earlier work by Ellis and Crowe (1991) [4] and Courther and Novarin (1993) [5], a simple expression for modelling three dimensional scattering strength from ocean surfaces was given and compared with another semi-empirical model. The expression combines separable forms of the Chapman-Harris backscattering model with a forward scattering loke given by a high frequency. Kirchhoff approximation. Geometrical shadowing effects of the facets are accounted for by using a separate loss factor.

Three-dimensional scattering data from carefully controlled measurements are needed to ascertain the accuracy of the expression.

The simple expression includes the effects of both the rough air-sea interface and sub-surface bubbles. In may be useful as a sub-model for modelling reverberation in multistatic sonar.

Future work may include improving the shadowing factor [10] and considering other backscattering models such as those in Ogden and Erskine [11,12,13].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Dr. Roger Gauss and his colleagues at Naval RCSCH Laboratory, Washington DC provided the code of the SESSS model, which was used for producing the results shown in Fig.1(c) and Fig.2(d) in this paper.

REFERENCES

- R.P. Chapman and J. H. Harris," Surface backscattering strengths measured with explosive sound sources," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 34, 1592–1597 (1962).
- [2] R.C. Gauss and J.M. Fiałkowski, "A Broadband Model for Predicting Bistatic Surface Scattering Strengths," in Proceedings of the Fifth European Conference on Underwater Acoustics, edited by M.E. Zakharia et al., 10-13 Jul. 2000, Lyon, France (European Commission, Luxembourg, 2000), Vol. 2, pp. 1165-1170.
- [3] R.C. Gauss, J.M. Fialkowski, and D. Wurmser, "Assessing The Variability Of Near-Boundary Surface And Volume Reverberation Using Physics-Based Scattering Models,"

in N.G. Pace and F.B. Jensen (eds.), Impact of Littoral Environmental Variability on Acoustic Predictions and Sonar Performance, pp. 345-352. (Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2002).

- [4] Dale D. Ellis and D. Vance Crowe, "Bistatic reverberation calculations using a three-dimensional scattering function," J. Acoust. Soc. Am., Vol. 89, 2207--2214 (1991).
- [5] J. W. Caruthers and J.C. Novarini, "Modeling bistatic bottom scattering strength including a forward scattering lobe", IEEE J. Oceanic Eng, 18, 100-107 (1993).
- [6] K. Torrance and E. Sparrow, "Theory for off-specular reflection from roughened surfaces," J. Opt. Soc. Am. No.57, pp. 1105-1114, (1967).
- [7] C.S. Cox and W.H. Munk, "Statistics of the sea surface derived from sun glitter," J. Marine Res., 13, 198–227, (1954).
- [8] L.M. Brekhovskikh and Yu. Lysanov, Fundamentals of Ocean Acoustics, 3rd ed. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003).
- [9] A. Ishimaru, Wave Propagation and Scattering in Random Media (Academic, New York, 1978), Vol. 1 &2.
- [10] E. I. Thorsos, "The validity of the Kirchhoff approximation for rough surface scattering using a Gaussian roughness spectrum," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 83, 78–92 (1988).
- [11] P.M. Ogden and F.T. Erskine, "Surface scattering measurements using broadband explosive charges in the Critical Sea Test experiments," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 95, 746-761 (1994).
- [12]P.M. Ogden and F.T. Erskine, "Surface and volume scattering measurements using broadband explosive charges in the Critical Sea Test 7 experiment," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 96, Pt. 1, 2908-2919 (1994).
- [13] M. Nicholas, P.M. Ugden and F.T. Erskine, "Improved empirical descriptions, for accountic surface backstatter in the Ocean", IEEE J. Oceanic Engr., 23, 81-95(1998).





Acoustics Australia